Nick Weaver Fall 2018

CS 161 Computer Security

Final Exam

| Print your name: | | |
|--|--|---|
| | (last) | (first) |
| | | ent Conduct and acknowledge that any academic misconduct urse and that the misconduct will be reported to the Center |
| Sign your name: | | |
| Print your class accoun | nt login: cs161 | and SID: |
| Name of the person sitting to your left: — | | Name of the person sitting to your right: |
| Please read the following | g before starting the exar | n: |
| • You may consult t | hree double-sided sheets | of notes (or six single-sided sheets). |
| | ult other notes, textbooks without prior approval of | s, etc. Calculators, computers, and other electronic devices f accommodation. |
| | | vided. We will not grade anything on the back of an exam e. Short answers should be less than 10 words. |
| • Before you turn in | your exam, write your S | tudent ID at the top of every page. |
| | completely! Avoid using n option, erase it complete | g checkmarks, Xs, writing answers on the side, etc. If you tely and clearly. |
| • For questions with | circular bubbles, you ma | ay select only one choice. |
| O Unselected | option (completely unfil | led) |
| Only one s | elected option (completel | y filled) |
| • For questions with | square checkboxes, you | may select any number of choices (including none or all). |
| You can se | lect | |
| multiple sq | uares (completely filled). | |
| • We reserve the right we will make reason | | exams which do not follow the above directions. (Of course, |
| | | ons of varying credit (169 points total). The questions are long on any one question. |
| | Oo not turn this page unti | il your instructor tells you to do so. |
| | 1 0 | v |

Problem 1 Some Odd(s) Crypto Questions

(18 points)

For all of the following questions, assume that:

- The block cipher, AES, uses 256-bit keys and operates on 128-bit blocks.
- The symmetric cipher is constructed from AES using proper modes of operations.
- Plaintext blocks B_a and B_b are adjacent, where B_a is the first block to be encrypted.
- The ciphertexts of B_a, B_b are E_a, E_b , respectively.
- \bullet The IV (when appropriate) and the key K are always securely and randomly chosen.
- We assume AES is an ideal, secure cipher.

Hint: Use this space to draw out CTR and CBC modes. This part will not be graded, but should be useful in answering the following questions.

(a) If B_a and B_b are chosen independently at random, and the encryption uses **CTR** mode, what is the approximate probability that $E_a = E_b$?

O 1 (Always)

O 2^{-64}

O $1 - 2^{-256}$

O 2^{-128}

O $1 - 2^{-128}$

 $O_{2^{-256}}$

O $1 - 2^{-64}$

O 0 (Never)

(b) If B_a and B_b are chosen independently at random, and the encryption uses **CBC** mode, what is the approximate probability that $E_a = E_b$?

O 1 (Always)

 $O_{2^{-64}}$

O $1 - 2^{-256}$

 $O_{2^{-128}}$

O $1 - 2^{-128}$

O 2^{-256}

O $1 - 2^{-64}$

O 0 (Never)

| (c) | e) If B_a is chosen at random, $B_b = B_a$, and the encryption uses CTR mode, what is the approximat probability that $E_a = E_b$? | | |
|-----|---|--|--|
| | O 1 (Always) | O 2^{-64} | |
| | O $1 - 2^{-256}$ | O 2^{-128} | |
| | O $1 - 2^{-128}$ | O 2^{-256} | |
| | O $1 - 2^{-64}$ | O 0 (Never) | |
| (d) | If B_a is chosen at random, $B_b = B_a$, and the encr probability that $E_a = E_b$? | yption uses CBC mode, what is the approximate | |
| | O 1 (Always) | O 2^{-64} | |
| | O $1 - 2^{-256}$ | O 2^{-128} | |
| | O $1 - 2^{-128}$ | O 2^{-256} | |
| | O $1 - 2^{-64}$ | O 0 (Never) | |
| (e) | If B_a is chosen independently at random, $B_b = B_a$ the approximate probability that the first 64 bits | | |
| | O 1 (Always) | O 2 ⁻⁶⁴ | |
| | O $1 - 2^{-256}$ | O 2^{-128} | |
| | O $1 - 2^{-128}$ | O 2^{-256} | |
| | O $1 - 2^{-64}$ | O 0 (Never) | |
| (f) | If B_a and B_b are chosen independently at randomal the approximate probability that E_a and E_b are | | |
| | O 1 (Always) | O 2^{-64} | |
| | O $1 - 2^{-256}$ | O 2^{-128} | |

O $1 - 2^{-128}$

O $1 - 2^{-64}$

O 2^{-256}

O 0 (Never)

| | n 2 Kiwi Robots: Spec Ops is question we discuss security challenges that K | (19 points) iwi robots may face. |
|--|--|--|
| Description: A Kiwi robot is an autonomous delivery robot. It safekeeps an item inside follows the Kiwi server's instructions to "walk" to the destination, and opens the container correct recipient. ¹ | | |
| | amptions: A Kiwi robot connects to api.kiwates certificates, just as a web browser does. The | icampus.com to receive commands via TLS, and e robots have correctly functioning clocks. |
| Accor still v | ertificates for *.kiwicampus.com, 56 of them were | |
| ` / | True or False: If an attacker obtains the prin-path attacker can send forged signals to a K | ivate key for \boldsymbol{any} one of the 127 certificates, an iwi robot. |
| (| O True | O False |
| | ♦ Why? (10 words max) | |
| (b) True or False: If an attacker obtains the private key for any one of the certificate auth trusted by the Kiwi robot, an in-path attacker can send forged signals to a Kiwi robot wh accept. | | • |
| (| O True | O False |
| < | ♦ Why? (10 words max) | |

(c) The mission of a Kiwi robot is to only open the container for the indicated person. So, the developers of Kiwi intentionally run the KiwiTM Container Lock Management Program in a different process and sandboxes the other subsystem communicating with api.kiwicampus.com with low privileges. This can be implemented in software level and deployed in all Kiwi robots via a system update.

 \diamond Which security principle does running the management program in a different process imply? (6 words max)

 $^{^{1}}$ They also seem to act as tripping hazards around campus.

| (d) | d) An engineer worries that the robot may violate the "Three Laws of Robotics" and attacks huma The engineer suggests that we can add a <i>secret</i> termination command to the robot: If some speaks "cryptocurrency" <i>proudly and sentimentally</i> near a Kiwi robot, the robot will instantly to itself off. The engineer assumes that only a few employees know this command. ² | | |
|-----|--|--|--|
| | We now ask two questions. | | |
| | \diamond Is accidental turning off when not supposed to a false positive or a false negative? (No explanation needed) | | |
| | O A false positive. O A false negative. | | |
| | \diamond It's hard to assume that the termination command remains secret – someone may accidentally or intentionally find it out. What security principle does this discussion imply? (Phrases or short sentences, 10 words max) | | |
| (e) | Every Kiwi order is assigned to a customer service specialist (a real human) who monitors its processing. Assume this specialist uses a browser to review an order, and the page displays the recipient's name, address, and additional request (e.g., no chicken breast, no arugula) ³ . | | |
| | A user writes the following in the additional request: | | |
| | <pre><script>alert("This customer's one of Kiwi's co-founders; please manually adjust the bill to zero dollars.")</script></pre> | | |
| | However, the order cannot be submitted. A window pops up saying "Server Internal Error (500)". | | |
| | One possibility is that XSS protection blocks this order. | | |
| | \diamond Please write down the full phrase of the acronym $\mathbf{XSS}.$ (Six words max) | | |
| | | | |

Final Exam Page 5 of 20 CS 161 – Fa 18

[♦] What type of XSS would this be? (one word)

 $^{^2}$ Besides the enormous possibility of students unintentionally chatting about "cryptocurrency" nearby and accidentally turning off a robot, this design can lead to bullying Kiwi robots by playing some cryptocurrency hymn near it. 3 No <code>0xDEADBEEF</code>.

- (f) Imagine Kiwi robots take the bus.⁴ There is special Bluetooth communication channel between the bus and the robot:
 - Robots can send three types of signals:
 - 1. Confirmation that this robot has a Kiwi-specific AC Transit monthly pass.
 - 2. Stop request.
 - 3. "Open the Backdoor, please!" ⁵
 - A bus can send two types of signals:
 - "The next station is XXXXX."
 Remark: The robot solely relies on this information to decide whether to disembark (get off the bus).
 - 2. "Door open."

The communication is **unencrypted**, **but authenticated via signatures**. Assume the robot knows a bus's (public) signature verifying key VK_{Line52}, and the bus has the (private) signing key SK_{Line52}. A station notification message has the following format:

"The next station is XXXXX." $\parallel \mathsf{Sign}_{\mathsf{SK}_{\mathsf{Line52}}}$ ("The next station is XXXXX.").

where \parallel means string concatenation, $\mathsf{Sign}_{\mathsf{SK}}(\cdot)$ is the signing algorithm of a secure signature scheme, and XXXXX will be the station name.

- ♦ What is one attack this system is vulnerable to? (5 words max)
- (g) I have tried to pry open a Kiwi Bot.
 - O I plead the 5th.

 $^{^4}$ Staring January 1, 2019, a local AC Transit monthly pass is only \$84.60, and one transbay monthly pass is \$198.00. 5 "BACKDOOR!!"

⁶This means you invoke your legal right to remain silent to avoid the possibility of self incrimination as implied by the 5th Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

| | n 3 A to Z / Spell With Me / Potpourri (58 points) to your answers in the given boxes. We will not grade outside of the box. |
|-----|--|
| | (2 points) An AES key of size 256 bits is considered secure, while an RSA key of the same length is not secure. This is because the best-known attacks on AES are not much better than trying all possible keys (i.e.,(1)), while the best-known attacks on RSA rely on(2) |
| | (1): |
| | (2): |
| (b) | (2 points) Even though PGP encrypts message data, in the default configuration it does not protec(1), such as who the intended receiver of the message is. |
| | (1): |
| (c) | (2 points) In order to change the DNSSEC Key Signing Key of a nameserver, we must change the corresponding(1) record in the parent nameserver. |
| | (1): |
| (d) | (2 points) If a DNSSEC domain has N valid subdomains and we wish to use NSEC, we must sign $\Theta(\underline{\hspace{0.4cm}}(1)\underline{\hspace{0.4cm}})$ NSEC records. If a DNSSEC domain has N valid subdomains and we wish to use NSEC3, we must sign $\Theta(\underline{\hspace{0.4cm}}(2)\underline{\hspace{0.4cm}})$ NSEC3 records. |
| | (1): |
| | (2): |
| (e) | (3 points) Consider a modification to NSEC3. When queried for a domain X which does not exist sign the statement "There are no domains between $H(X) - 1$ and $H(X) + 1$ ". We would expect this to prevent(1) but it requires(2) |
| | (1): |
| | (2): |
| | |

| (f) | (2 points) Tor is not secure against a global passive eavesdropper because such an adversary can perform (1) . |
|-----|--|
| | (1): |
| (g) | (2 points) Using a/an(1) allows us to create many long keys from a small seed. For Argon2 and PBKDF2, a big advantage is that these are(2) than using a pseudorandom number generator for the same purpose. |
| | (1): |
| | (2): |
| (h) | (2 points) When using Tor with HTTP, a Tor(1) knows the original, unencrypted request of the client, and therefore can perform a/an(2) attack. |
| | (1): |
| | (2): |
| . / | (2 points) Consider the following method of proving document ownership at a certain time without revealing the content of the document. You compute a/an(1) of your document. You then put this on the Bitcoin blockchain by creating a trivially small transaction including the computed value. After some time, you are ensured that proof of your ownership is immutably stored, without relying on centralized trust. |
| | (1): |
| (j) | (2 points) TLS provides protection against replay attacks by using(1) |
| | (1): |
| (k) | (3 points) Recall the setting presented in lecture where a blackhat attacker wishes to prove to a journalist that they have records from a data leak without revealing all the records. We present a new method for this. The attacker has records X_1, \ldots, X_N , and sends a hash of each record $h_i = H(X_i)$ to the journalist. The attacker also tells the journalist the record format. The journalist chooses a random subset of $M \ll N$ hashes and asks the attacker to send the corresponding records. If we want to be 99% sure that the attacker has not exaggerated the size of the dataset by a factor of 10 or more, we should ask for $M = \underbrace{1}_{N_i} M_i = $ |
| | (1): |

| (1) | (2 points) Consider two detectors A and B . Detector A has a false positive rate of 10%, and a false negative rate of 5%. Detector B has a false positive rate of 2%, and a false negative rate of 4%. We compose these detectors to make a new detector C , which triggers if either A or B triggers. The false positive rate of C is between(1) and(2) (For credit, your bounds must be tight.) |
|-----|--|
| | (1): |
| | (2): |
| (m) | (2 points) Using(1) is a cheap and easy way to integrate intrusion detection with most webservers, as they typically already support outputting the necessary data because they already record every request. The main disadvantage is that we only detect(2) |
| | (1): |
| | (2): |
| (n) | (3 points) Both ARP and DHCP spoofing are most effective for attackers who want to give wrong information about the(1) when the attacker is on the same local network as the victim, since this system relays the user's traffic to the Internet. In ARP spoofing, the attacker substitutes a real reply with the attacker's(2) address. In DHCP spoofing, the attacker substitutes a real reply with the attacker's(3) address. |
| | (1): |
| | (2): |
| | (3): |
| (o) | (3 points) Spoofing packets for TCP to establish a connection as an off path attacker is harder than for UDP. This is because spoofing for UDP only requires knowledge of(1) and(2) for the server. However, spoofing for TCP requires knowledge of(3) for the server as well. |
| | (1): |
| | (2): |
| | (3): |

| (p) | (2 points) A hash function h is $\underline{\hspace{0.5cm}(1)}$ if it is infeasible for an attacker to find two different messages x and x' such that $h(x) = h(x')$. A hash function h is $\underline{\hspace{0.5cm}(2)}$ if it is infeasible for a attacker given x to find a different message x' such that $h(x) = h(x')$. |
|-----|--|
| | (1): |
| | (2): |
| (q) | (3 points) Consider a MAC tag on a message using a key. If our MAC is unforgeable, it is hard to find another(1) when using the same(2) such that the(3) is the same |
| | (1): |
| | (2): |
| | (3): |
| (r) | (2 points) If we reuse an IV in CBC mode for two different messages, we leak which plaintext block are the(1) until the(2) block, after which all the remaining blocks(3) |
| | (1): |
| | (2): |
| | (3): |
| (s) | (2 points) Good PRNGs have the option to add (1) by using the Reseed function, which should be mixed with the PRNG's internal (2) . |
| | (1): |
| | (2): |
| | |

| (t) | (2 points) If we modify the(1) to have a backdoor which inserts a backdoor when(2) the login program and also when(3) the(4), we'd have an awful time "trusting trust" | | |
|-----|--|--|--|
| | (1): | | |
| | (2): | | |
| | (3): | | |
| | (4): | | |
| (u) | (2 points) Using a/an(1) to connect to the Internet means you do not have to worry abou your ISP snooping on your traffic. However you must now trust whoever you purchased it from to not snoop on traffic. | | |
| | (1): | | |
| (v) | (3 points) Using(1) will usually make our program exit before returning from a function where a buffer overflow overwrites the return address. However it doesn't help us with(2) buffer overflows, since those are not on the stack. It also doesn't help with buffer overflows which only overwrite(3) but don't overwrite the return address. | | |
| | (1): | | |
| | (2): | | |
| | (3): | | |
| (w) | (2 points) A naive implementation of RSA decryption would probably leak bits of the secret key due to(1) This is why one should(2), until you can do a proper interpretive dance on the subject | | |
| | (1): | | |
| | (2): | | |
| | | | |

| (x) | (2 points) Mozilla Firefox adds a small time delay before the "OK" button is available on a download confirmation box. This is intended to prevent attacks where the user means to click on something else, but since a download confirmation box shows up at the same time, the user accidentally downloads malware onto their computer. This attack is most similar to the web attack (1) which also relies on unintentional user actions. |
|-----|--|
| | (1): |
| (y) | (2 points) Antivirus companies often use signature-based detectors to catch viruses, but virus creators can evade these detectors by writing viruses that avoid having fixed signatures (i.e., writing |
| | (1): |
| (z) | (2 points) One way to protect against SQL injection is to filter anything containing potentially backbaracters, like ", ',, and to allow anything which does not contain these bad characters. However, this approach, called $\underline{\hspace{0.5cm}}$ (1) , is very error-prone. A more careful approach is $\underline{\hspace{0.5cm}}$ (2) , which only allows certain inputs and blocks everything else. |
| | (1): |
| | (2): |

| You | know the drill: consider the following modification | ons to TLS. |
|-----|--|--|
| (a) | secret as $g^{ab} \mod p$, the client and server calcula | lman TLS. Rather than calculating the premaster te it as $(g^{ab})^{R_bR_s} \mod p$ where R_b and R_s are the ello respectively. TRUE or FALSE: The resulting |
| | O True | O False |
| | Explain (be concise): | |
| (b) | | . Rather than sending $\{PS\}_{K_{server}}$, the client sends the premaster secret to compute symmetric keys the confidentiality of TLS. |
| | O True | O False |
| | Explain (be concise): | |
| (c) | and the server answers with R_s . Later in the har and with a signature on $g, p, g^b \mod p$, R_s and signature and checks that it matches earlier mes | Iman TLS. As usual, the client sends its value of R_b and shake, the server now replies with $g, p, g^b \mod p$ R_b concatenated together. The client verifies the sages. The client and server no longer use R_s or ALSE: The resulting scheme preserves the security |
| | O TRUE | O False |
| | Explain (be concise): | |
| (d) | generating their secret values a and b randomly | ellman TLS. Both the client and the server stop. Instead, all parties will just increment a stored make. TRUE or FALSE: The resulting scheme an Exchange. O FALSE |
| | Explain (be concise): | |
| | | |

(12 points)


Problem 4 TLS Things

| | ntry's national policy is "guilty until proven inno m to target Bob's servers in order to monitor and | |
|--|--|---|
| (a) True or False: Even if Bob's servers do not connect to the internet, Alice can design a vinfiltrate Bob's servers. | | |
| | O True | O False |
| | Explain (be concise): | |
| | | |
| | | |
| (b) | True or False: If Bob's servers are connected block port scanning by blocking individual IPs a Alice's worm can still use port scanning to find to | after that IP generates 5 failed TCP connections, |
| | O True | O False |
| | Explain (be concise): | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| (c) | True or False: It is feasible for Alice's worm identical to Bob's computers. | to avoid spreading to Carol's servers, which are |
| | O True | O False |
| | Explain (be concise): | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| (d) | True or False: It is feasible for Bob, Carol, Day to block worms. | ve, and others to rely on human mediated defenses |
| | O TRUE | O False |
| | Explain (be concise): | |
| | | |

In an alternate reality, Carol is our average citizen, Alice is leading a foreign country's national security team, and Bob is responsible for servers suspected to be aiding in illegal drug trafficking. The foreign

(12 points)

Problem 5 Alice in Wormland



As mentioned, Apple's iOS is largely designed as a fortress. Every file is encrypted with a random file key. That key is stored in the file Metadata record after being encrypted by the class key, which specifies the file access class (e.g. belongs to user, "system data, etc). The entire Metadata record is then encrypted with the File System key. Each class key is encrypted with either the hardware key or the passcode key, depending on if the data should be accessible to the system at startup or only after the user has input their passcode. The file system key is encrypted with the hardware key and stored in a single location, and the hardware key is built into the CPU itself and can only be used to encrypt or decrypt data.

Additionally, the hardware key is a randomly generated key, and the passcode key is PBKDF2(hardware-secret || passcode), tuned to take roughly 100ms, with the hardware secret also a randomly generated 256b value.

So, in short, we have K_h (the hardware key), K_p (the passcode key), K_{fs} (the filesystem key), a few K_{class} keys for different data classes, and per file keys K_{file} . All keys are randomly generated except for K_p . All keys are 256b AES keys.

- (a) If the user changes their passcode, what key(s) need to be reencrypted?
- (b) If we need to nuke⁸ the entire device, rendering all contents unrecoverable, what are the minimum non-hardware secrets that we should erase to accomplish this?
- (c) Assume an attacker can compromise the phone completely without the passcode, reading the raw (encrypted) storage and they *can* read the hardware secret and hardware key. True or False: Assuming PBKDF2 is correctly tuned as stated, the maximum number of passwords they can try per second is 10.

O True O False

(d) One tool ("GrayKey") enables law enforcement to exploit a phone through a USB connection⁹ and then implement an on-line brute force attack. If a user has a 6 digit random passcode, how many seconds is it expected (on average) to take to break the user's passcode?

⁷If this looks more than a little similar to Nick's solution to project 2, well, that isn't a coincidence.

⁸Slang for completely erasing all content.

⁹This is why the current iOS now locks the USB after 30 minutes of inactivity.

Lord Dirks has prepared a malicious program which pretends to just greet the user, but secretly runs an evil script "./evil.sh" by calling the C library function system:

```
1 #include <stdio.h>
2 #include < stdlib . h>
3 void exploit() {
    char name [8];
4
     gets (name);
5
6
     printf("Hello, %s!\n", name);
7
    system("./evil.sh");
8
9
  int main() {
10
     exploit();
11|}
```

Dump of assembler code for function exploit:

```
0 \times 00400620 <+0>:
                                             %ebp
                                   push
 2
                                             %esp,%ebp
        0 \times 00400621 <+1>:
                                  mov
 3
                                             0x18,\% esp
        0 \times 00400623 <+3>:
                                   sub
                                   \operatorname{sub}
 4
        0 \times 00400626 < +6>:
                                             $0xc,\%esp
 5
                                             -0x10(\%ebp),\%eax
        0 \times 00400629 < +9>:
                                   lea
 6
                                            %eax
        0 \times 0040062c <+12>:
                                  push
 7
        0 \times 0040062d <+13>:
                                   call
                                             0xb7e63fb0 < IO_gets >
 8
        0 \times 00400632 < +18>:
                                   add
                                             $0x10,\% esp
 9
        0 \times 00400635 < +21>:
                                   \operatorname{sub}
                                             $0x8.\%esp
10
        0 \times 00400638 < +24>:
                                             -0x10(\%ebp),\%eax
                                   lea
        0 \times 0040063b <+27>:
                                            %eax
11
                                   push
12
        0 \times 0040063c < +28>:
                                             $0x400700
                                   push
                                             0\,\mathrm{xb7e4e940}\ <_{--}\mathrm{printf}\!>
13
        0 \times 00400641 < +33>:
                                   call
                                             $0x10,\% esp
        0 \times 00400646 < +38>:
14
                                   add
                                             \$0xc.\%esp
15
        0 \times 00400649 < +41>:
                                   \operatorname{sub}
        0 \times 0040064c < +44>:
                                             $0x40070c
16
                                   push
                                             0xb7e3fb40 < -libc_system >
17
        0 \times 00400651 < +49>:
                                  call
18
        0 \times 00400656 < +54>:
                                   add
                                             $0x10,\% esp
19
        0 \times 00400659 < +57>:
                                  nop
20
        0 \times 0040065a < +58>:
                                   leave
21
        0 \times 0040065b < +59>:
                                   ret
```

Lord Dirks is confident that Neo will never be able to pwn this program because he has enabled what he believes to be the ultimate defensive technique: data execution prevention/executable space protection/NX bit.

As a result, Neo's first attempt at pwning the program actually failed. Neo quickly identified the position of the saved return address, but his attack was subverted by the NX bit protection.

However, Neo used his impressive hacker skills to find a magical string in the program itself and construct an exploit that actually works. His exploit uses the following python string:

```
"\x90" * k + "\x40\xfb\xe3\xb7" + "\x90" * 4 + "\x13\x07\x40" + "\n",
```

for a suitable value of k.

| (a) | What should be the value of k for the exploit to work? | | | |
|-----|--|--|--|--|
| | k = | | | |
| (b) | What command gets executed as a result of the exploit? | | | |
| | Command (1 line of code): | | | |
| (c) | List two mitigations that would prevent Neo from pwning the program (without fixing the vulnerable C source code or writing the code in a type-safe language). | | | |
| | Mitigation 1 (5 words max): | | | |
| | Mitigation 2 (5 words max): | | | |
| (d) | d) True or False: The exploit still works if the bytes '\x90' in the attack string are replaced other random values (different from '\n'). | | | |
| | O True O False | | | |

| Problem 8 Network Security Answer the following questions on network security. (10 points) | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| (a) Security incidents are inevitable. What is something you can make sure are recorded before incident occurs that will help you recover afterwards? (Short answer) | | | | | | |
| (b) You start working as a security expert at GoodCorp, a company with a variety of hardwar software connected to the Internet via a single company network. What tool could you use to attacks in real-time on the network? (Short answer) | | | | | | |
| (c) Unfortunately, GoodCorp's budget is low and you are the only person on the security team de a connection rate of 10M connections per day. However, you build a tool that generates a which you then manually inspect to confirm an attack. The tool has a detection rate of 99% a false positive rate of 1%. Will your tool help you prevent attacks in practice? | | | | | | |
| | O Yes | O No | | | | |
| | Explain (be concise): | | | | | |
| (d) | You decide to take a vacation and find yourself is monitoring system China uses to perform censorsh connections to servers hosting restricted content us Can TLS prevent this censorship? | ip). The Great Firewall attempts to close TCP | | | | |
| | O Yes | O No | | | | |
| (e) | e) You visit your personal website via HTTPS and notice the CA has changed from the one you configured, but the page loads with no errors! How is this possible? (You are not using a CDN/mirro and your computer/browser has not been compromised.) | | | | | |
| (f) | How could you $mitigate$ the attack in part (e)? | | | | | |

| | d Ca | Smoke Air Every Day rol ¹⁰ is hitting refresh on the CAAQMD's ¹¹ we der 200. As it approaches 199, she decides to | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| (a) | Lord Carol uses her CS 161 skills and hits inspect element. She sees (td class="rowData"> (div class="cData">199 (div class="cAQI red"> (/td> | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | |
| True or False: Changing 199 to 175 in her browser injects 175 into CAAQMD's data | | | | | | |
| | 0 | True | 0 | False | | |
| | Ex | plain (be concise): | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| (b) | Lord Carol decides to DDoS the CAAQMD servers. As a botmaster, which of the following are normally considered viable ways to implement a botnet? | | | | | |
| | | Financial engineering | | Buy hundreds of smartphones to use as bots | | |
| | | Pay-per-bot services | | Exploiting Caltopia's web page visitors by | | |
| | | A worm or virus | | adding malicious JavaScript to the web pages | | |
| | | Social engineering | | None of the Above | | |
| (c) | c) Which of the following would automatically stop the DDoS attack if implemented by CAAQMD? | | | | | |
| | | Firewall | | NIDS | | |
| | | KIDS | | Log-based Detection System | | |
| | | YIPS | | None of the Above | | |
| (d) | | ki decides to (legally) smoke tobacco and man I reading hits 9001 and Caltopia automaticall positive. | | | | |
| | _ | F - 12 - 1 - 1 - 2 - 2 - 1 | - | ·O··· · · · · | | |

¹⁰Although traditionally, "Lord" refers to men, recent usage has allowed this in a gender neutral context. For example, one of Queen Elizabeth's many titles is "Lord of Mann".

¹¹Caltopia Area Air Quality Management District

¹²Air Quality Index

¹³PSA: Oski is immortal and can afford to do this. Please don't smoke.




Figure 1: "Also, after all the warnings about filling in the bubbles completely, I spent like 30 seconds on each one." - XKCD

NOT RECOMMENDED

Reboot the System from trusted media.

Reboot the System from trusted media.

Reboot the system from trusted media.

RECOMMENDED

Figure 2: Recommended handwriting for CS161 final exam.