Raluca Ada Popa Spring 2018

CS 161 Computer Security

Final Exam

| Print your name: | | |
|--|---|--|
| | (last) | (first) |
| • | - | nt Conduct and acknowledge that any academic misconduct erse and that the misconduct will be reported to the Center |
| Sign your name: | | |
| Print your class accou | nt login: cs161 | and SID: |
| Name of the person sitting to your left: | | Name of the person sitting to your right: |
| Please read the following | g before starting the exam | n. |
| • You may consult | three double-sided sheets | of notes (or six single-sided sheets). |
| | sult other notes, textbooks without prior accommodation | s, &c. Calculators, computers and other electronic devices ion. |
| | answers in the spaces pro inless we are clearly told t | wided in the test. We will not grade anything on the back to look there. |
| • Before you turn in | n your exam, write your S | tudent ID at the top of every page. |
| | n completely! Avoid using an option, erase it complete | g checkmarks, Xs, writing answers on the side, &c. If you tely and clearly. |
| • For questions with | n circular bubbles, you ma | y select only one choice. |
| O Unselected | d option (completely unfill | ied) |
| Only one s | selected option (completely | y filled) |
| • For questions with | n square checkboxes, you i | may select any number of choices (including none or all). |
| You can se | elect | |
| multiple so | quares (completely filled). | |
| | ht to deduct points from e onable exceptions.) | exams which do not follow the above directions. (Of course, |
| | utes. There are 12 questic ty, so avoid spending too l | ons, of varying credit (221 points total). The questions are long on any one question. |
| I | Do not turn this page unti | il your instructor tells you to do so. |
| | | |

| Problem Ans | m 1 True or False wer the following true or false qu | (40 points) destions. | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| (a) | The Harvard Architecture separates the code and data of a program into two separate address spaces. This makes it impossible to treat data as code, or code as data. True or False: Buffer overflows are not exploitable on a Harvard Architecture. | | | |
| | O TRUE | O False | | |
| (b) | True or False: Postcondition function. | s for a function are independent of implementation details of the | | |
| | O TRUE | O False | | |
| (c) | Consider the splitting problem: given a natural number n , find integers a and b such that $ab = n$ and $a, b > 1$. (Note that a and b need not be prime.) True or False: If we had a polynomial time solution to the splitting problem, we could create a polynomial time solution for factoring into prime numbers. | | | |
| | O TRUE | O False | | |
| (d) | Consider the function $H: \{0,1\}^{2b} \to \{0,1\}^{b}$. H takes a $2b$ -bit string s , and splits it into two b -bit blocks k and x . It then computes $E_k(x)$, where E_k is a secure block cipher encryption using b -bit blocks and keys. True or False: H is a one-way function. | | | |
| | O True | O False | | |
| (e) | True or False: If an arbitrary | function is collision-resistant, then it is preimage resistant. | | |
| | O TRUE | O False | | |
| (f) | TRUE or FALSE: If an arbitrary | function is collision-resistant, then it is second preimage resistant. | | |
| | O TRUE | O False | | |
| (g) True or False: If it is possible, one way to prevent CSRF attacks is to instead of HTTP GET requests, since this prevents an attacker from a img tag. | | | | |
| | O TRUE | O False | | |
| (h) | TRUE or FALSE: If we do not clickjacking attack. | use frames on our site, this prevents attackers from performing a | | |
| | O TRUE | O False | | |
| (i) | v | ne IP addresses, ports, TCP sequence numbers and TCP acknowlnnection, you can inject TCP traffic. | | |
| | O True | O False | | |

| (j) | (j) True or False: If we have two independent detectors, there is always a way to combine that the combined detector is more cost-effective than either detector alone. (Ignore the detectors.) | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| | O TRUE | 0 | FALSE | | |
| (k) | True or False: HTTPS provides security even a | ıgair | st attackers on the local network. | | |
| | O True | 0 | False | | |
| (1) | True or False: Even if you carefully inspect al not contain Javascript, you can still fall victim to | | | | |
| | O TRUE | 0 | False | | |
| (m) | True or False: Disabling Javascript in your bro | wser | prevents clickjacking attacks completely. | | |
| | O TRUE | 0 | FALSE | | |
| (n) | True or False: Disabling Javascript in your bro | wser | prevents CSRF attacks completely. | | |
| | O TRUE | 0 | FALSE | | |
| (o) | True or False: If we hash the MAC of a message | ge, tl | nis provides confidentiality. | | |
| | O TRUE | 0 | FALSE | | |
| (p) True or False: If we have a secure MAC, it is computationally difficult to find and a message m such that $MAC_k(m) = MAC_{k'}(m)$. | | utationally difficult to find two keys k and k' , | | | |
| | O TRUE | 0 | FALSE | | |
| (q) | True or False: Prepared statements are a possi | ble o | lefense for SQL injection attacks. | | |
| | O TRUE | 0 | FALSE | | |
| (r) True or False: DNSSEC and TLS combined prevents eavesdroppers from are visiting. | | nts eavesdroppers from seeing what sites we | | | |
| | O TRUE | 0 | FALSE | | |
| (s) | True or False: ARP spoofing requires that the attacker has two devices on the network: one to send requests and the other to give fake answers. | | | | |
| | O TRUE | 0 | FALSE | | |
| (t) | True or False: Whitelist approaches are often m attacks. | ore e | effective than blacklists in preventing injection | | |
| | O TRUE | 0 | FALSE | | |

| SID: | <u> </u> |
|---|---|
| Problem 2 Party, No Theme Answer the following questions about variety | (35 points) us course topics. |
| posts. Whenever someone visits you | nerability in Snapitterbook which lets you create malicious r Snapitterbook page, the evil post sends a request to the the visitor to post a copy of the evil post to their own wall. |
| i. Which of the following concepts a | re relevant to this situation? |
| ☐ CSRF | ☐ Reflected XSS |
| ☐ Virus | ☐ Clickjacking |
| \square Worm | □ SQL Injection |
| ☐ Trojan | ☐ Stored XSS |
| ii. Which of the following technologic | es could help fix or detect the situation above? |
| ☐ A strict X-Frame-Options | ☐ Anomaly-based detection |
| ☐ Input escaping | ☐ Referer checking |
| ☐ A strict Content-Security-Poli | cy |
| ☐ Prepared Statements | ☐ HTTPS |
| (b) (3 points) You are considering buying | three detector solutions, with the following statistics: |
| 1. Detector X: False positive rate 5% | 7; False negative rate 2% |
| 2. Detector Y: False positive rate 1% | 5; False negative rate 5% |
| 3. Detector Z: False positive rate 2% | ; False negative rate 1% |
| A false positive costs \$50, while a false | e negative costs \$100. |
| Answer the following questions which If the detectors are equally effective, e | attempt to compare the cost effectiveness of each detector. ither choice will be accepted. |
| i. Compare X and Y . | |
| O X is better (or equal) | Y is better (or equal) Cannot say |
| ii. Compare Y and Z . O Y is better (or equal) | Z is better (or equal) Cannot say |
| iii. Compare X and Z . | |
| O X is better (or equal) | Z is better (or equal) O Cannot say |
| (c) (3 points) What security principle exp work? | plains why using proof of work to prevent email spam might |

(d) (3 points) A company decides to implement a complicated password policy for its employees. What

security principle explains why the overall security of the system might go down?

| SID: | | | | | | |
|------|--|---|--|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| | (e) | (3 points) | For RSA signat | ures as discussed | l in lecture | e, why is verification faster than signing? |
| | (f) | Alice deciding time, A | des to take Bob dice presents the derived through t | to court! As pro entire HTTPS | oof that the dialogue be | bout Alice on https://bob.com/alice-sux. e Bob's site had the statement at some point etween her and the site. She also provides all ge be convinced? Explain your answer in 2-3 |
| | | - | - " | | - | ised bob.com or Bob's private keys. Assume by a trusted certificate authority. |
| | | O Judge | should be convi | nced | 0 | Judge should not be convinced |
| | | Explain: | | | | |
| (| (g) | (3 points) their targe | | llowing attacks | require an | attacker to be on the same local network as |
| | | ☐ TCP | Injection | | | DHCP Spoofing |
| | | ☐ ARP | Spoofing | | | Reflected XSS |
| | | □ DNS | Spoofing | | | Stored XSS |
| (| (h) | (3 points) Which property of the hash function does the hash chain in Bitcoin rely on? List one property alone. | | | | |
| | (i) | _ | Which of the fo | | are typical | lly implemented using the compiler? ASLR |
| | | □ NX b | it | | | Stack Canaries |
| | (j) | (3 points) | Alice receives the | ne following ema | il: | |
| | | | llory <mallory e <alice@rsa.c e,</alice@rsa.c </mallory | | .org> | |
| | | reports them and | for the Fall 2 | 016 Quarter. H he tax estimat | He said th te she ask | s link to those expense hat you would look at ked for earlier. in.html> |
| | What attack does this represent? (Be as specific as possible!) | | ossible!) | | | |

| SID: | |
|------|--|
|------|--|

Problem 3 Déjà Vu

(16 points)

The code below runs on a 32-bit Intel architecture. **ASLR is enabled**. There are no stack canaries, no position-independent executables and no NX bit. No padding is added by the compiler.

```
char *gets(char *s) { /* simple implementation of gets */
2
       char *s_ = s;
3
       while ((*s_++ = getchar()) != '\n');
4
       s_{-}[-1] = ' \setminus 0';
5
       return s;
6
  }
7
   void deja_vu() {
9
       char door[16];
10
       gets(door);
11
  }
```

- (a) What sort of exploit technique works by chaining execution of small blocks of code ("gadgets")?
- (b) After disassembling the code, you find the following gadgets.

```
1
  Gadget 1:
2
     0x080484a2 <+30>:
                                  $0x14, %esp
3
     0x080484a5 <+33>:
                          ret
4
  Gadget 2:
5
     0x080484fc <+30>:
                                  $0x14,%esp
                          add
     0x080484ff <+33>:
                          ret
```

Which of the above gadgets was most likely generated intentionally by the compiler?

O Gadget 1

O Gadget 2

(c) On some older Intel processors, a single instruction could halt the entire system. The machine code for one such instruction is \xf0\x0f\xc7\xc8. Give an input which will cause the execution of this shellcode. Hint: We pushed &door onto the stack in order to call gets. This forms a "perfect pointer" for shellcode. Knowing that, how can you make the program start executing door?

Problem 4 Mystery Matrix Math

(15 points)

Consider the following code which performs a mystery operation on the input matrix.

```
void mystery(int **A, size_t m, size_t n) {
   for (size_t i = 0; i < m; i++) {
      for (size_t j = 0; j < n; j++) {
            A[i][j] = A[j][i];
      }
}</pre>
```

Assume that m and n are both non-zero. Ignore the possibility of negative indices.

| (| a.) | For each of the subparts | below, mark | necessary preconditions | for mystery t | to be memory-safe. |
|----|--------|----------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| ١. | \sim | I of cach of the bas parts | 0010111 | recessar, precentareren | | o so michigan. |

|) I of each of the suspares selen, main heccessary p | recentations for mystas of securior, said. |
|---|--|
| i. \square $A \neq \text{NULL}$ | \square size $(A) > n$ |
| \square size $(A)>m$ | \square size $(A) \geq n$ |
| \square size $(A) \geq m$ | |
| ii. \square $m \neq i, n \neq j$ | |
| \square $i < m, j < n$ | |
| iii. \square $\forall i: i < m \implies A[i] \neq \texttt{NULL}$ | |
| | |
| | |
| $\text{iv. } \square \forall i: i < m \implies \mathtt{size}(A[i]) \geq n$ | |
| $\square \ orall i: i < n \implies \mathtt{size}(A[i]) \geq n$ | |

(b) For each of the following postconditions, indicate if they hold or not. Assume that the preconditions hold. Let A be the original matrix, and let A' be the transformed matrix.

i. All of the preconditions, applied to A'.

O TRUE O FALSE $\text{ii. } \forall i: i < m \implies A[i] = A'[i] \\ \text{O TRUE} \\ \text{O FALSE}$

| (Heads o | Scared of Commitment d Bob are playing a game which involves flipping a coin. To play, Alice begins by calling a side or Tails). Bob then flips the coin and announces the result to Alice. Alice wins if she correctly the coin flip. |
|-----------------------|---|
| he knows result of | d Bob do not trust each other. Alice is scared that Bob will lie about the result of the flip one is Alice's guess. Bob is scared that Alice will lie about her original guess once she knows the flip. In order to solve this problem, we have Alice and Bob use a commitment scheme commitment scheme prevents both players from cheating the result of the coin flip. |
| | e each of the proposed commitment schemes below, where a is a single bit representing Alice'd b is a bit representing the result of Bob's coin flip. Explain your answers. |
| You may | assume that SHA256 is a random oracle. (Do not worry if you do not know what that means. |
| (a) 1. | Alice generates a random 128b string R . |
| 2. | Alice sends R and $SHA256(a R)$ to Bob. |
| 3. | Bob sends b to Alice. |
| 4. | Alice reveals a . |
| 5. | Bob checks that $SHA256(a R)$ equals the value Alice sent before. |
| 0 | Secure O Insecure |
| Ехр | olain: |
| (b) 1. | Alice generates a random 128b string R . |
| 2. | Alice sends $SHA256(a R)$ to Bob. |
| 3. | Bob sends b to Alice. |
| 4. | Alice sends a and R to Bob. |
| 5. | Bob checks that $\mathrm{SHA256}(a R)$ equals the value Alice sent before. |
| 0 | Secure O Insecure |
| Exp | blain: |
| . , | Alice generates a 128b key k and a 127b random padding R . |
| | Alice sends $C = E_k(a R)$ to Bob, where E_k is the AES block cipher. |
| | Bob sends b to Alice. |
| | Alice reveals k and $a R$. |
| _ | Bob decrypts C to recover a and R , and checks that they match what Alice sent. |
| O | Secure O Insecure |
| Exp | plain: |
| | |

Problem 6 Vaults (16 points)

A bank wants to set up a vault. They give all of their employees badges, which contain a microcontroller, a per-employee symmetric key k, the ElGamal public key K_V of the vault, and a password P which is needed to open the vault.

The bank knows that sending passwords in plaintext is bad, so they decide to encrypt it first. To send the password P to the vault, the badge performs the following protocol.

- 1. The badge uses the vault's ElGamal public key K_V to encrypt its employee symmetric key k.
- 2. The badge sends $E_{K_V}(k)$, AES-CTR_k(P).

The vault then decrypts the badge's message:

- 1. The vault decrypts $E_{K_V}(k)$ using its ElGamal private key. Since the key k is always 256 bits long, the vault ignores any excess bits (i.e., it takes the key mod 2^{256}).
- 2. The vault uses k to decrypt AES-CTR_k(P).
- 3. If the decrypted password matches the correct password, the vault unlocks itself (if it is locked) or locks itself (if it is unlocked).

There is no limit to the number of times somebody can attempt to authenticate.

Mallory is an attacker with physical access to the vault who wants to break-in. Mallory manages to get a small "skimmer" device placed on the surface of the vault. The skimmer acts as a man-in-the-middle, which can spoof and intercept messages between a badge and the vault. The next day, the vault manager uses his badge to open the vault door.

- (a) Explain how Mallory should program her skimmer in order to allow her to open and close the vault door again at some later time.
- (b) Using your exploit in part (a), Mallory breaks open the vault. The room contains a post-it note with the password P. It also contains a flash drive of sensitive documents, encrypted with the vault manager's symmetric key k_m . Mallory needs to decrypt these documents!
 - i. ElGamal encryption is malleable: Given (1) the ElGamal encryption (c_1, c_2) of a message m and (2) an integer a, one can find the encryption of am without knowing m! Show how to do this. Recall that ElGamal encryption is $Enc(PK, m) = (g^r \mod p, m \cdot PK^r \mod p) = (c_1, c_2)$, for r chosen at random, and g, p public parameters. (No explanation needed. You can use this in later parts even if you do not show it here.)
 - ii. How can Mallory determine the least significant bit of the key k_m ? RECALL: From Mallory's skimmer, she knows $E_{K_V}(k_m)$ and AES-CTR $_{k_m}(P)$. From the post-it note, she knows P. Everyone knows the public key K_V of the vault. HINT: Mallory might have some success if she interacts with the vault again.

iii. Extend your attack above to determine the entire key k_m .

| SID: | <u> </u> |
|---|---|
| | (15 points) and a client to negotiate an encryption protocol. Both sides of the following flags in an unencrypted and unauthenticated |
| 1. NOT_SUPPORTED (NS): "I do not support | encryption. If you try to use it, I will abort this connection." |
| 2. SUPPORTED (S): "I support, but do not | require, encryption. Please use it if you support it." |
| 3. REQUIRED (R): "I require encryption. I | f you cannot use it, I will abort this connection." |
| on encryption, the rest of the conversation | hest security that they can agree on. If they manage to agree is encrypted using TLS with a server certificate, signed by sume that both sides of the connection properly implement |
| For each of the following scenarios below, deconfidentiality of the connection. | etermine if an active man-in-the-middle can compromise the |
| (a) Client sends R; Server sends R. | |
| O Secure | O Insecure |
| (b) Client sends S; Server sends S. | |
| O Secure | O Insecure |
| (c) Client sends S; Server sends R. | |
| O Secure | O Insecure |
| (d) Client sends R; Server sends S. | |
| O Secure | O Insecure |
| (-) Al: l:Ct: t- tl- | -h |

(e) Alice proposes a modification to the above protocol. Rather than sending its encryption level unauthenticated, the server will present a signed message of the encryption level it supports, along with its TLS certificate. The client verifies the certificate and the signed message. Reevaluate the above choices in this new protocol.

Assume the server never changes what encryption level it supports. Assume that all clients and servers (even if they do not support encryption) still follow Alice's protocol.

True or False: As long as both sides support encryption, the connection will be secure against a man-in-the-middle.

| 0 | True | 0 | Fals |
|--------|------|---|------|
| \cup | TRUE | O | FAL |

| SID: | | |
|------|---|--|
| imp | 're in charge of manually running the | DNS resolver for AirBears2. AirBears2 has a reputation for it's your job to make sure that nothing goes wrong on your |
| (a) | make use of DNSSEC. You have the re- | first time, with no state in your cache. You do not currently oot name server's IP address hard-coded into your configuratur first DNS query from a user for inst.eecs.berkeley.edu.ery? |
| (b) | fields. You discard all responses that responses. The responses both contain | we many responses, but only two of them have matching ID do not have matching ID fields, but you still have with two records for the domain .edu, but the IP addresses in the A tice that one of them arrived significantly before the other. |
| | O Trust the faster one O | Trust the slower one O Trust neither |
| (c) | resolve the remainder of the requested along the way, including any you ma receive a single response, and every n | you choose to trust one of the two answers at random. You domain name, caching all of the the records that you receive y have received in part (b). For each remaining step, you esponse has a matching ID. Eventually, you receive the IP You return this IP address to the user that requested it. |
| | | applaint from this user claiming that when they attempted to instead received the webpage for eecsees.com. |
| | | attack. What entries do you need to remove to ensure that to remove the minimal number of entries.) |
| | O All records | O Records starting with inst. |
| | O Records ending with .edu | O Records for inst.eecs.berkeley.edu |

(d) After the above incident, a disappointed Oski demands that you utilize DNSSEC. Assume that the rest of the world magically complies with your demands to support DNSSEC. All implementation details of DNS follow what was taught in lecture.

O Records ending with .berkeley.edu

O Records ending with .eecs.berkeley.edu

We replay the scenario up to part (b), greatly simplified, this time with DNSSEC. You start up your DNSSEC resolver for the first time, with no state in your cache. You have the root name server's IP address and public key hard-coded into your configuration (and nothing else).


O Records ending with .com

O Records for eecseecs.com

You send your initial query and receive two responses. The responses both contain records for the domain .edu, but the IP addresses in the A records are different. At this given point in time, given zero tolerance for error, describe how you would determine which answer(s) to trust. If you cannot trust either answer, write "cannot trust either" and explain your answer briefly.

Problem 9 Networking Love Triangle

(15 points)



Alice, Bob and Mallory are all on a local network. Mallory is an *off-path* attacker with a super-fast connection: her packets always arrive first.

Assume Mallory knows the MAC and IP addresses of Alice and Bob's computers. Assume that Alice will accept the first valid packet she receives as coming from Bob, and ignore what happens once Bob's real packet arrives.

- (a) Alice and Bob are communicating through UDP on some unknown ports. What does Mallory need to guess to insert a fake UDP datagram from Bob to Alice?
- (b) Alice and Bob are communicating through TCP on some unknown ports. What does Mallory need to guess to insert a fake TCP packet from Bob to Alice?
- (c) Alice is about to send a DNS request to Bob's resolver for 1.2.3.4.5.6.7.com. What does Mallory need to guess to spoof the reply?
- (d) Alice is about to send an ARP request for Bob's MAC address. What does Mallory need to guess to spoof the reply?
- (e) True or False: If Mallory was on-path, she could spoof all of the above responses with a 100% success rate.





| SID: | | |
|------------|----------|--|
| | | |
| Problem 10 | Trackina | |

</html>

(12 points)

<html> <body> Here is a GIF of puppies <script type="text/javascript"</pre> src="http://yahoo.com/analytics.js"></script> <script type="text/javascript"</pre> src="https://google.com/analytics.js"></script> </body>

Let's say the web-page at http://cute-puppies.com looks like the following:

Note that google.com is loaded over HTTPS, whereas yahoo.com is loaded over HTTP.

Alice uses Mozilla Firefox on her laptop running Microsoft Windows. In her first browser tab, she has https://berkeley.edu open. In a second tab, she opens http://cute-puppies.com. In a third tab, she opens http://cute-puppies.com once again.

Assume that no two entities share information out of band. Each of the parts below are independent.

| (a) | | Assuming Alice does not use any tracking protection, which entities know that the same person visited cute-puppies.com twice? | | | |
|-----|---|---|--|---|--|
| | | cute-puppies.com operators | | Microsoft | |
| | | yahoo.com operators | | Mozilla | |
| | | google.com operators | | Alice's ISP | |
| | | <pre>image-host.com operators</pre> | | UC Berkeley | |
| (b) | Assume Alice opted in for a privacy service run by her ISP. This privacy service blocks analytics scripts based on a URL-based blocklist (not host-based). Which entities know that the same person visited cute-puppies.com twice? | | | | |
| | | cute-puppies.com operators | | Microsoft | |
| | | yahoo.com operators | | Mozilla | |
| | | google.com operators | | Alice's ISP | |
| | | <pre>image-host.com operators</pre> | | UC Berkeley | |
| (c) | Assume Alice uses a browser plugin. The browser-plugin blocks the analytics scripts based on a URL-based blacklist (not host-based). Which entities know that the same person visited cute-puppies twice? | | | - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| | | cute-puppies.com operators | | Microsoft | |
| | | yahoo.com operators | | Mozilla | |
| | | google.com operators | | Alice's ISP | |
| | | image-host.com operators | | UC Berkeley | |

| (d) Assume Alice uses a VPN run by UC Berkel cute-puppies.com twice? | ey. Which entities know that the same person visited |
|--|--|
| ☐ cute-puppies.com operators | ☐ Microsoft |
| ☐ yahoo.com operators | ☐ Mozilla |
| ☐ google.com operators | ☐ Alice's ISP |
| ☐ image-host.com operators | □ UC Berkeley |

Problem 11 Boogle (15 points)

Boogle is a social networking website that's looking into expanding into other domains. Namely, they recently started a map service to try their hand at fusing that with social media. The URL for the main website is https://www.boogle.com, and they want to host the map service at https://maps.boogle.com.

- (a) Describe how to make a cookie that will be sent to only Boogle's map website and its subdomains.
- (b) How can Boogle ensure that cookies are only transmitted encrypted so eavesdroppers on the network can't trivially learn the contents of the cookies?
- (c) Boogle adds the ability for users to check in to locations on maps.boogle.com, but discovers an XSS vulnerability that slipped through QA. Name a hotfix they can do to prevent scripts from stealing cookies using XSS.
- (d) Some of the XSS attacks are scraping sensitive information from the map site, like user emails. The security team wants to know the scope of the vulnerability. Can attackers use XSS to also scrape sensitive information from the main site, https://www.boogle.com? Explain why or why not.
- (e) Boogle wants to be able to host websites for users on their servers. As we saw in HW4, it is not completely safe to host them on https://[username].boogle.com. Propose an alternate scheme so that Boogle can still host other users websites with less risk, and explain why this scheme is better.

Note: It is okay if the user sites interfere with each other, as long as they cannot affect official Boogle websites.

Problem 12 Scripts and SQL

(15 points)

Answer the following questions about Javascript and SQL.

(a) Oski Bank uses Javascript to deliver account information. The https://oski.bank/account.js file is generated server-side, and contains information for currently logged-in user. For example, here is how the file would look for a customer with name "John Doe" and 10232 dollars:

```
display({ name: "John Doe", money: 10232 });
```

i. Assume a victim user visits evil.com while this user is logged in with Oski Bank. How could evil.com use this to steal the user information provided as input to display for this victim user? Include approximate HTML in your explanation.

ii. Which of the following could be used to defend against this attack? Assume you can also update the rest of oski.bank.

| Strong Content-Security-Policy | CSRF Tokens |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Strict Referer checking | Whitelist user inputs |
| X-Frame-Options header | Only call display if window == top |

(b) Oski Bank's site also contains the following code:

```
name = request.form['username']
query = 'SELECT COUNT(*) FROM users WHERE name="{}"'.format(name)
found = database.execute(query).fetchone()[0]
if found: return 'User exists!', 200
else: return 'User not found!', 404
```

Assume that the user's table also contains a hash column, which is a hexadecimal encoding of the hash of the user's password. Explain in detail how you could determine dirks's hash. (HINT: You might find the SQLite substr(X, Y, Z) command helpful. It returns the Z character substring of the string X starting at the Yth character.)